

Propagation of ultrashort electromagnetic wave packets in free space beyond the paraxial and slowly-varying-envelope approximations : a time-domain approach

Charles Varin and Michel Piché
Centre d'optique, photonique et laser, Université Laval,
Québec, G1K 7P4, Canada

A time-domain approach is proposed for the propagation of ultrashort electromagnetic wave packets beyond the paraxial and the slowly-varying-envelope approximations. An analytical method based on perturbation theory is used to solve the wave equation in free space without resorting to Fourier transforms. An exact solution is obtained in terms of successive temporal and spatial derivatives of a monochromatic paraxial beam. The special case of a radially polarized transverse magnetic wave packet is discussed.

State-of-the-art mode-locked laser oscillators can generate ultrafast pulses whose duration can be as short as a few optical cycles [1]. Such pulses – with a finite spatiotemporal extent and thus carrying a finite quantity of energy – can in principle be isolated, amplified, temporally compressed, and spatially focused to reach extreme field intensities within a volume that is of the order of the third power of the laser wavelength (λ^3) [1]. In this situation the commonly used paraxial approximation and slowly-varying-envelope approximation (SVEA) do not apply directly and must be corrected.

In 1975, Lax *et al.* proposed a method to express exact solutions of Maxwell's equations in terms of an infinite series of corrections applied to a paraxial electric field [2]. So far, this approach has led numerous studies dealing with non-paraxial optics. Unfortunately, as it is also the case for the electromagnetic beam theory developed by Davies [3], the so-called “method of Lax” only applies to monochromatic beams, or CW laser signals. With the outstanding developments in femtosecond and attosecond technologies, more appropriate tools are required to describe the propagation of non-paraxial ultrashort light pulses, sometimes called light bullets. Recently, Lu *et al.* [4] have proposed a method to describe the free-space propagation of vectorial non-paraxial ultrashort pulsed beams in the frequency domain. In this letter, we present an alternative approach to this problem entirely in the time domain, i.e. without using Fourier transforms.

The spatiotemporal propagation of an electromagnetic wave packet is governed by Maxwell's equations. Solving these equations in free space proceeds in three steps : 1. the transverse electric field vector $\mathbf{E}_\perp$ is found from the wave equation, 2. the longitudinal electric field E_z is obtained from the divergence equation ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$), and 3. the magnetic flux vector $\mathbf{B}$ is calculated from the differential form of Faraday's law ($\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B}$) or the differential form of Ampère's law ($\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = c^{-2} \partial_t \mathbf{E}$), where c is the speed of light *in vacuo*, and $\partial_t \equiv \partial/\partial t$. In the case of a paraxial wave packet whose duration is much longer than the optical period, this sequence of operations is performed with ease. We now describe each of these three steps in detail beyond the range of validity of the paraxial approximation and of the SVEA.

In free space, the vectorial wave equation for the trans-

verse electric field vector $\mathbf{E}_\perp$ – perpendicular to the time-averaged Poynting vector – is given by the following wave equation [5]:

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{E}_\perp - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \mathbf{E}_\perp = 0. \quad (1)$$

The electric field vector is defined as follows in phasor notation (see also Refs. 1 and 6) :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{E}_\perp + E_z \mathbf{a}_z, \\ &= \text{Re} \left[\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \exp [j(\omega_0 t - k_0 z)] \right], \\ &= \text{Re} \left[\left(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp + \tilde{E}_z \mathbf{a}_z \right) \exp [j(\omega_0 t - k_0 z)] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp$ is the complex envelope of the transverse electric field vector, $\tilde{E}_z$ is the complex envelope of the longitudinal electric field, $\mathbf{a}_z$ is a longitudinal unit vector oriented along the pulse propagation, $j = \sqrt{-1}$; $\omega_0 = k_0 c$, $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda_0$, and λ_0 are the central angular frequency, the central wave number, and the central wavelength of the wave packet spectrum, respectively. Combining Eqs. (1) and (2), one obtains the following equation for the complex envelope of the field :

$$\nabla_\perp^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp - 2jk_0 \partial_z \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp - 2j \frac{\omega_0}{c^2} \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp + \partial_z^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\nabla_\perp$ is a differential operator acting in the transverse plane.

The use of the retarded time $t' = t - z/c$ instead of the (normal) time t is sometimes preferred when dealing with the propagation of electromagnetic signals of finite spatiotemporal extent [5]. More specifically, it separates the transverse envelope (diffraction) from the temporal envelope (pulse shape). After some manipulations, the result given at Eq. (3) can be expressed in terms of the retarded variables $t' = t - z/c$ and $z' = z$ as follows :

$$\nabla_\perp^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp - 2jk_0 \partial_{z'} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp + \partial_{z'}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp - \frac{2}{c} \partial_{z'} \partial_{t'} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp = 0. \quad (4)$$

Alternatively, Eq. (4) can be written in a more compact form that reads :

$$\nabla_\perp^2 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp - 2jk_0 \partial_{z'} [1 - \Theta] \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp = 0, \quad (5)$$

with $\Theta = j(\omega_0^{-1}\partial_{t'} - (2k_0)^{-1}\partial_{z'})$. For paraxial and quasi-monochromatic optical beams, the term $\Theta\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp$ is vanishingly small compared to the complex field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp$ itself, i.e. $[\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp - \Theta\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp] \simeq \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp$. In that case, Eq. (5) leads to the *paraxial wave equation* [7]. On the other hand, when the transverse (or longitudinal) dimension of the wave packet is of the order of the wavelength, the term $\Theta\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp$ can no longer be neglected. However, the modulus of this contribution, i.e. $|\Theta\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp|$, remains small even for strongly focused single-cycle light pulses [2, 6, 8, 9]. As a consequence, we can consider Eq. (5) to be a *perturbed paraxial wave equation*.

We observe that the partial differential operator Θ cannot be reduced to a simpler operator, proportional only to spatial or temporal derivatives, i.e. $\Theta \propto \partial_z^n$ or $\Theta \propto \partial_t^n$. This fact clearly indicates that spatial and temporal effects cannot be decoupled. Consequently, a solution of Eq. (5) is found by expanding the transverse electric field vector $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp$ as a power series of Θ . In a compact notation it reads :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta^n \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(n)}. \quad (6)$$

By equating terms with the same power of Θ in Eq. (5), the two following equations are then found :

$$\nabla_\perp^2 \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(0)} - 2jk_0 \partial_{z'} \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(0)} = 0, \quad (7a)$$

$$\nabla_\perp^2 \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(n)} - 2jk_0 \partial_{z'} \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(n)} + 2jk_0 \partial_{z'} \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(n-1)} = 0, \quad (7b)$$

solving the *perturbed paraxial wave equation* in such a way that the n th contribution is obtained recursively from the order $(n-1)$, acting like a source term in the paraxial wave equation ($\tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(n<0)} = 0$).

A solution of the recursion relation given at Eq. (7b) is written as follows (see Porras[8]) :

$$\tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(n)} = \partial_{z'}^{n-1} \left(\frac{z'^n}{n!} \partial_{z'} \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(0)} \right), \quad (8a)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n-1}{m-1} \frac{z'^m}{m!} \partial_{z'}^m \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(0)}, \quad (8b)$$

thus giving $\tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(n)}$ in terms of successive derivatives of the zero-order field $\tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(0)}$, a solution of the paraxial wave equation. Recalling Eq. (6), the envelope of the transverse electric field vector reads :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} j^n \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0} \partial_{t'} - \frac{1}{2k_0} \partial_{z'} \right)^n \partial_{z'}^{n-1} \left(\frac{z'^n}{n!} \partial_{z'} \tilde{\Psi}_\perp^{(0)} \right). \quad (9)$$

For any given transverse electric field vector $\mathbf{E}_\perp$, the longitudinal electric field E_z can be obtained from the divergence equation. With the use of the complex notation defined at Eq. (2), $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$ can be formally inverted [10] and expressed in terms of the retarded variables t' and

z' to yield :

$$\tilde{E}_z = \frac{-j}{k_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} j^m \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0} \partial_{t'} - \frac{1}{k_0} \partial_{z'} \right)^m (\nabla_\perp \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\perp). \quad (10)$$

A general equation giving the magnetic flux vector $\mathbf{B}$ of an arbitrary ultrashort wave packet can be deduced from Maxwell's equations but cannot always be reduced to a simple expression, as it is the case for monochromatic transverse electromagnetic (TEM) waves (see Eq. (7.11) of Ref. 5). However, for a given distribution and polarization of the transverse electric field vector corresponds a spatiotemporal arrangement of the fields that is unique and guarantees the stability of the wave packet. As an example, let us consider the case of a TM_{0l} wave packet whose transverse electric field is radially polarized, i.e. $\mathbf{E}_\perp = E_r \mathbf{a}_r$ and $E_\theta = 0$, where r and θ are polar coordinates in the plane perpendicular the propagation axis (the z -axis), and $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The intensity profile of this particular family of beams is characterized by l concentric and angularly symmetric ($\partial_\theta \mathbf{E}_\perp = 0$) bright rings [7]. From Ampère's law ($\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = c^{-2} \partial_t \mathbf{E}$), we obtain the two following equations ($B_r = 0$ and $B_z = 0$) :

$$-\partial_z B_\theta = c^{-2} \partial_t E_r, \quad (11a)$$

$$r^{-1} \partial_r (r B_\theta) = c^{-2} \partial_t E_z. \quad (11b)$$

If we combine Eqs. (11a) and (11b) together, we obtain the divergence equation that we solved earlier at Eq. (10). Thus, the solution of Eq. (11a) assures that Eq. (11b) is also respected. If we express the fields in complex notation (and then in terms of the retarded variables), the formal inversion of Eq. (11a) yields :

$$\tilde{B}_\theta = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} j^p \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0} \partial_{t'} - \frac{1}{k_0} \partial_{z'} \right)^p (1 - j/\omega_0 \partial_{t'}) \tilde{E}_r. \quad (12)$$

In the past, many investigations have been devoted to the study of the paraxial wave equation and its solutions (see for example Ref. 11). More specifically, we make reference to the wide family of the Gauss-Hermite and Gauss-Laguerre beam modes, considered to be a good representation of the transverse electromagnetic modes of optical resonators [7, 12]. According to the formalism we propose, these solutions, or any solution obtained under the paraxial beam optics theory, can be extended to the case of tightly focused (non-paraxial) ultrashort (few-cycle) wave packets using the general formulation we developed. We emphasize the fact that we obtained all the spatiotemporal corrections in the time-domain, alternatively to the Fourier transform approach [4]. However, the method described here has the feature that it displays directly the corrections of the SVEA on the four-dimensional structure of the wave packet, as needed to correctly predict ultrafast interactions with matter. For instance, dispersion and nonlinear optical effects could be embedded in this formalism [6].

This letter should be considered as an extension – a vectorial and non-paraxial generalization – of a previous work published by Porras [8]. In fact, it is possible to show that Eq. (9) simplifies to the equation for paraxial ultrashort pulsed beams when the spatial variations of the field due to diffraction are negligible compared to the variations of the temporal envelope, i.e. when $\Theta \simeq j\omega_0^{-1}\partial_{t'}$ (see Eq. (8) of Ref. 8). Besides, it has been demonstrated that the perturbation method we used leads to the same results that are obtained from integral methods [8]. We thus observe that the fields given at Eqs. (9) and (10) are equivalent to the Eqs. (25) and (28) of the paper of Lu *et al.* [4].

The irreducibility of the expansion parameter Θ indicates that in free space, the spatial and temporal envelopes of a non-paraxial ultrashort wave packet are non-separable. This coupling ensures the wave packet's stability under tight focusing and reveals itself as a reorganization of the structure of the wave packet, noticeable as modifications of the carrier wave and the spatiotemporal envelope [8].

For a gaussian beam (with a gaussian pulse shape), the spatial and temporal contributions, i.e. $(2k_0)^{-n}\partial_{z'}^n\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}$ and $\omega_0^{-n}\partial_{t'}^n\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp}$, are respectively proportional to $(2k_0z_R)^{-n} = (k_0r_0)^{-2n}$ and $(\omega_0\Delta t)^{-n}$, where $z_R = k_0r_0^2/2$ is the Rayleigh distance, r_0 is the wave packet transverse extent at focus (beam waist), and Δt is the pulse duration. The expansion of Θ^n yields also

crossed-terms with various powers of k_0r_0 and $\omega_0\Delta t$. However, for a single-cycle pulse ($\Delta t = 2\pi/\omega_0$) focused down to one wavelength ($r_0 = 2\pi/k_0$), the most important contribution comes from the temporal envelope and decreases as $(2\pi)^{-n}$. Consequently, it is observed that a truncated series ($n = 0, 1, 2$) reproduces the exact field with a good accuracy (see Porras [8]). Nonetheless, for slightly focused and long pulses ($r_0 \gg 2\pi/k_0$ and $\Delta t \gg 2\pi/\omega_0$), the formalism reduces to the expressions for paraxial and monochromatic beams [2, 11].

The full vectorial treatment we have presented here also leads to an exact evaluation of the associated longitudinal electric and magnetic fields. These field components are not usually dealt with in optics ; however, they must be taken into consideration for the study of relativistic effects in laser-matter interactions and for the investigation of electron acceleration in intense laser fields.

Acknowledgments

M. Piché and C. Varin thank Les fonds de recherche sur la nature et les technologies (Québec), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (Canada), and the Canadian Institute for Photonic Innovations for their financial support. C. Varin also thank Miguel A. Porras for helpful discussions.

-
- [1] T. Brabec and F. Krausz, “Intense few-cycle laser fields: Frontiers of nonlinear optics,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72**, 545-591 (2000).
 - [2] M. Lax, W. H. Louisell, and W. B. McKnight, “From Maxwell to paraxial wave optics,” *Phys. Rev. A* **11**, 1365-1370 (1975).
 - [3] L. W. Davis, “Theory of electromagnetic beams,” *Phys. Rev. A* **19**, 1177-1179 (1979).
 - [4] D. Lu, W. Hu, Z. Yang, and Yizhou Zheng, “Vectorial nature of nonparaxial ultrashort pulsed beam,” *J. Opt. A-Pure Appl. Op.* **5**, 263-267 (2003).
 - [5] J. D. Jackson, *Classical electrodynamics, third edition* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, 1999).
 - [6] T. Brabec and F. Krausz, “Nonlinear Optical Pulse Propagation in the Single-Cycle Regime,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3282-3285 (1997).
 - [7] A. E. Siegman, *Lasers* (University Science, Mill Valley, CA, 1986).
 - [8] M. A. Porras, “Pulse correction to monochromatic light-beam propagation,” *Opt. Lett.* **26**, 44-46 (2001).
 - [9] G. P. Agrawal and M. Lax, “Free-space wave propagation beyond the paraxial approximation,” *Phys. Rev. A* **27**, 1693-1695 (1983).
 - [10] E. H. Haselhoff, “Free-electron-laser model without the slowly-varying-envelope approximation,” *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, R47-R50 (1994).
 - [11] W. L. Erikson and S. Singh, “Polarization properties of Maxwell-Gaussian laser beams,” *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 5778-5786 (1994).
 - [12] L. W. Davis, “Vector electromagnetic modes of an optical resonator,” *Phys. Rev. A* , **30**, 3092-3096 (1984).